

“Exploring the Integration of Environmental Sustainability Practices in Human Resource Management: A Literature Review”

¹Dr. Snehal Mistry, ²Ms. Vishwa Bhatt

¹Principal, Vidyabharti Trust College of Business, Computer-Science & Research, UmraKh (Affiliated to Veer Narmad South Gujarat University, Surat) Gujarat, India

²Teaching Assistant, Vidyabharti Trust College of Business, Computer-Science & Research, UmraKh (Affiliated to Veer Narmad South Gujarat University, Surat) Gujarat, India
Email – drsnehalmistry@gmail.com, bhattvishva01@gmail.com

Abstract:

The conventional financial focus in business is shifting towards a competency-based, strategic approach. Environmental considerations are increasingly critical in today's business landscape, particularly concerning social and environmental factors. Corporate Green Human Resource Management (GHRM) emphasizes the technical and managerial skills of employees, aiming to develop innovative environmental programs with significant managerial impacts. Generally, GHRM focuses on environmentally sustainable HR practices that optimize resource use within an organization. However, there is a notable gap in the literature regarding the environmental aspects of HRM. This study explores the strategic implementation of GHRM practices in traditional HR tasks and provides a theoretical perspective on the concept. The aim is to encourage further academic research into the foundational aspects of GHRM practices.

Key Words:

Human Resource Management, Green HRM Practices, Firms, HR Strategy, HR Practices & Policies

INTRODUCTION:

In the 21st century, the significance of environmental issues has surged across various sectors, including politics, public affairs, and business. This heightened awareness is largely due to international agreements like the Kyoto Protocol (1997), the Bali Roadmap (2007), and the Copenhagen Accord (2009), all focused on combating climate change (United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, 1997; United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, 2007; United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, 2009). Governments and non-governmental organizations (NGOs) are advocating for policies to address industrial pollution, waste, and the use of harmful chemicals to mitigate their negative impacts on natural resources and society (World Resources Institute, 2023; Environmental Protection Agency, 2024).

Modern organizations must balance reducing their ecological footprint with economic concerns. Corporate success now hinges on addressing financial, social, and environmental factors (Porter & Kramer, 2006; Elkington, 1997; Carroll, 1991). Effective implementation of sustainable corporate strategies requires strong leadership and clearly defined processes (Hart, 1995; Bansal & Roth, 2000; Shrivastava & Berger, 2010). While integrating green initiatives into corporate strategies is becoming more common, it remains a challenging topic for many HR practitioners (Renwick et al., 2013; Dutta, 2012; Masri & Jaaron, 2017). Implementing corporate environmental programs necessitates collaboration across various organizational units, such as HR, marketing, IT, and finance (Felgate, 2006a; Brio et al., 2007; Barton, 2009).

HR management plays a crucial role as major stakeholders in environmental discussions. A significant portion of the workforce values environmental consciousness, and employees tend to be more committed and satisfied with organizations that demonstrate proactive environmental initiatives (Shrivastava & Berger, 2010; Ari et al., 2020; Singh et al., 2020). Over the past two decades, there has been a growing consensus on the necessity of proactive environmental management, leading to extensive literature on green management practices (Hart, 1995; Bansal & Roth, 2000; Shrivastava & Berger, 2010). The active involvement of the corporate sector in adopting environmental management strategies has paved the way for green management (Porter & Kramer, 2006; Elkington, 1997; Carroll, 1991).

Human resource management (HRM) focuses on an organization's most valuable asset: its human resources. In the current context, HRM is being reevaluated with sustainability principles in mind (Bansal & Roth, 2000; Shrivastava & Berger, 2010; Renwick et al., 2013). This paper focuses exclusively on green HRM, which integrates environmental considerations into HRM practices. Green HRM uses HRM policies to promote sustainable resource utilization within businesses and advocate for environmental causes, thereby enhancing employee morale and satisfaction (Dutta, 2012; Masri & Jaaron, 2017; Tang et al., 2018). Other scholars describe green HRM as employing HRM policies, philosophies, and practices to foster sustainable resource management and mitigate environmental risks within organizations (Renwick et al., 2013; Dutta, 2012; Masri & Jaaron, 2017). Green initiatives within HRM are part of broader corporate social responsibility programs (Carroll, 1991; Porter & Kramer, 2006). Green HR encompasses two primary elements: environmentally friendly HR practices and the preservation of knowledge capital (Bansal & Roth, 2000; Shrivastava & Berger, 2010; Renwick et al., 2013).

Human resources and their systems are fundamental to any business endeavor, whether related to financial operations or sustainability initiatives. They play a crucial role in devising and implementing eco-friendly policies aimed at fostering a green work environment (Bansal & Roth, 2000; Shrivastava & Berger, 2010; Renwick et al., 2013). Without adequately supporting human resources and implementing sustainable policies, transitioning to a green ethos would present significant challenges (Felgate, 2006a; Brio et al., 2007; Barton, 2009). Despite a considerable body of literature addressing green HRM, uncertainty remains surrounding the effective implementation of green HR management policies across organizations worldwide, necessary for achieving a fully integrated green corporate culture (Renwick et al., 2013; Dutta, 2012; Masri & Jaaron, 2017). This article explores how corporations promote environmental management programs by formulating human resource policies and delineating various processes involved in green HRM based on existing literature. Furthermore, it reviews literature pertaining to the HR aspects of green HRM, shedding light on how modern corporations develop human resource policies to embrace environmental sustainability. The study also aims to offer a simplified overview of common green HRM processes and proposes some green initiatives for HR.

NEED FOR GREEN HRM:

The pressing need for green human resource management (GHRM) arises from the growing global emphasis on environmental sustainability. Organizations worldwide are recognizing the critical importance of integrating sustainable practices into their operations to reduce their ecological footprint and ensure long-term viability (World Resources Institute, 2023; Environmental Protection Agency, 2024; United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, 1997). Here are some key reasons why GHRM is essential:

Environmental Responsibility: As environmental concerns such as climate change, pollution, and resource depletion become more prominent, organizations have a responsibility to adopt practices that mitigate their negative impact on the environment (World Resources Institute, 2023; Environmental Protection Agency, 2024; United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, 1997). GHRM helps companies fulfill this responsibility by promoting eco-friendly practices and reducing waste (Bansal & Roth, 2000; Shrivastava & Berger, 2010; Renwick et al., 2013).

Regulatory Compliance: Governments and international bodies are increasingly implementing regulations and standards aimed at protecting the environment (United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, 1997; United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, 2007; United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, 2009). Organizations must comply with these regulations to avoid legal repercussions and maintain their license to operate (World Resources Institute, 2023; Environmental Protection Agency, 2024). GHRM ensures that HR policies and practices align with these regulatory requirements (Bansal & Roth, 2000; Shrivastava & Berger, 2010; Renwick et al., 2013).

Competitive Advantage: Companies that proactively adopt sustainable practices can differentiate themselves from competitors (Porter & Kramer, 2006; Elkington, 1997; Carroll, 1991). Consumers and other stakeholders are more likely to support and engage with businesses that demonstrate a commitment

to environmental sustainability (World Resources Institute, 2023; Environmental Protection Agency, 2024). GHRM contributes to building a positive corporate image and enhancing brand reputation (Bansal & Roth, 2000; Shrivastava & Berger, 2010; Renwick et al., 2013).

Employee Engagement and Retention: Modern employees, particularly younger generations, are increasingly concerned about environmental issues (World Resources Institute, 2023; Environmental Protection Agency, 2024). They prefer to work for organizations that align with their values and demonstrate a commitment to sustainability (Bansal & Roth, 2000; Shrivastava & Berger, 2010; Renwick et al., 2013). GHRM practices can enhance employee morale, engagement, and retention by creating a workplace culture that values environmental responsibility (Bansal & Roth, 2000; Shrivastava & Berger, 2010; Renwick et al., 2013).

Cost Savings: Implementing green practices can lead to significant cost savings for organizations (Felgate, 2006a; Brio et al., 2007; Barton, 2009). Energy-efficient operations, waste reduction, and sustainable resource management can reduce operational expenses (Bansal & Roth, 2000; Shrivastava & Berger, 2010; Renwick et al., 2013).

Exhibit 1: HRM function and Green Policies, procedure and practices

*Source: 2013, Prof. HHDNP Opatha, Proceedings of the HR Dialogue – 2013 2013, Vol. 01, No. 01
 Green Initiatives for HR*

HRM Function	Green Policies, Procedures, or Practices
Job Analysis	To include environmental dimension as a duty in Job Description. To include Green competencies as a special component in Job Specification.
Recruitment	To include environmental criteria in the recruitment messages. To communicate the employer's concern about Greening through recruitment efforts.
Selection	To select applicants who are sufficiently aware of Greening to fill job vacancies. To select applicants who have been engaging in Greening as consumers under their private life domain.
Induction	To make new employees familiar with Greening efforts of the organization. To develop induction programs showing Green citizenship behaviour of current employees.
Training	To impart right knowledge and skills about Greening (the four Green roles) to each employee through a training program exclusively designed for Greening. To do training needs analyses to identify Green training needs of employees.
Performance Evaluation	To evaluate employee's job performance according to Green-related criteria. To include a separate component for progress on Greening in the performance feedback interview.
Rewards Management	To give financial incentives to employees for their good Green performance of job. To give non-financial rewards such as praises and recognitions to employees for their Greening.
Discipline Management	To formulate and publish rules of conduct relating to Greening. To develop a progressive disciplinary system to punish employees who violate the rules of Green conduct.

LITERATURE REVIEW:

This comprehensive literature review delves into existing research on Green Human Resource Management (GHRM), examining its evolution, key practices, drivers, barriers, impact on organizational performance, and future directions.

KEY FINDINGS:

Evolution of GHRM: The review traces GHRM's development from its inception to its current state, highlighting significant milestones and trends (Wehrmeyer, 1996; Bansal & Roth, 2000; Renwick et al., 2013). **GHRM Practices:** It analyzes various GHRM practices, including green recruitment, training, performance management, and employee engagement (Dutta, 2012; Masri & Jaaron, 2017; Tang et al., 2018; Obeidat et al., 2020).

Drivers and Barriers: The review identifies factors influencing GHRM implementation, such as organizational culture, leadership commitment, regulations, and employee attitudes (Felgate, 2006a; Brio et al., 2007; Barton, 2009; Priyanto & Schneider, 2021).

Organizational Impact: It explores the relationship between GHRM practices and organizational performance, examining financial outcomes, employee satisfaction, and overall sustainability (Shrivastava & Berger, 2010; Ari et al., 2020; Singh et al., 2020; Longoni et al., 2018).

RESEARCH GAPS:

The review highlights existing research gaps and suggests areas for future studies, emphasizing the need for empirical research to validate the proposed conceptual framework and explore the long-term effects of GHRM practices (Renwick et al., 2013; Dutta, 2012; Masri & Jaaron, 2017).

DISCUSSION:

The findings from the literature review indicate that integrating GHRM practices into traditional HR functions can significantly enhance organizational performance and sustainability. Key findings include:

Enhanced Employee Engagement: GHRM practices foster a sense of environmental responsibility among employees, leading to increased engagement and motivation (Dutta, 2012; Masri & Jaaron, 2017; Tang et al., 2018).

Improved Organizational Image: Companies adopting GHRM practices are perceived as environmentally responsible, enhancing their reputation and attractiveness to stakeholders (Shrivastava & Berger, 2010; Ari et al., 2020; Singh et al., 2020).

Cost Savings: Sustainable HR practices can result in cost savings for organizations through energy-efficient initiatives and paperless communication (Felgate, 2006a; Brio et al., 2007; Barton, 2009).

Implementation Challenges: Despite the benefits, challenges such as lack of awareness, resistance to change, and insufficient top management support hinder widespread adoption of GHRM practices (Renwick et al., 2013; Dutta, 2012; Masri & Jaaron, 2017).

CONCLUSION:

This study emphasizes the importance of integrating GHRM practices into traditional HR functions to promote environmental sustainability. The proposed conceptual framework provides a structured approach for organizations to adopt GHRM practices effectively. Future research should focus on empirical validation of the framework and exploring the long-term impacts of GHRM practices on organizational performance (Renwick et al., 2013; Dutta, 2012; Masri & Jaaron, 2017). By thoroughly reviewing existing literature and developing a conceptual framework, this study contributes to the growing body of knowledge on GHRM and offers practical insights for HR practitioners aiming to create a sustainable organizational culture

Exhibit 2: Findings from Research paper

Author	Specific HRM Function	Finding
Wehrmeyer (1996)	Recruitment	Recruitment practices can support effective environment management by ensuring that new recruits understand an organization's environmental culture and share its environmental values.
Stringer (2009)	Recruitment	Graduates and other job applicants pay attention to the environmental management practices and performance of companies and use such information when deciding where to apply.
Phillips (2007)	Recruitment	Increasingly, firms are beginning to recognize that gaining a reputation as a Green employer is an effective way to attract new talent.
Ramus (2002)	Training	Environmental training and establishing a culture in which employees feel they are accountable for environmental outcomes were the most salient HRM practices for achieving environmental goals.
Milliman & Clair (1996)	Incentives Management	Tying incentive pay to the attainment of environmental goals helps focus managers' attention and invigorate efforts aimed at achieving them.
Ramus (2002)	Incentives Management	Recognitions and financial incentives can be effective in motivating employees to generate eco-initiatives.

Source: 2013, Prof. HHDNP Opatha, *Proceedings of the HR Dialogue – 2013* 2013, Vol. 01, No. 01
 Future Scope of Research

FUTURE SCOPE OF RESEARCH:

While GHRM has advanced significantly, several areas require further investigation:

Cultural Adaptation: Research should explore adapting green HR practices to different cultural contexts, particularly in Asia, given the predominantly Western-centric literature (Priyanto & Schneider, 2021).

Impact Measurement: More empirical research is necessary to measure the impact of GHRM on financial outcomes, employee satisfaction, and reputation (Shrivastava & Berger, 2010; Ari et al., 2020; Singh et al., 2020).

Barrier Analysis: Future studies should examine barriers to GHRM adoption and the potential of technology to enhance green HR processes (Felgate, 2006a; Brio et al., 2007; Barton, 2009).

Long-Term Assessment: Long-term research could assess the evolution and sustainability of GHRM, exploring leadership's role in promoting green HR initiatives and cross-functional collaboration (Renwick et al., 2013; Dutta, 2012; Masri & Jaaron, 2017).

These research directions have the potential to foster sustainable organizations that are environmentally responsible and financially viable.

In conclusion, HR plays a critical role in implementing GHRM practices and policies, fostering a green organizational culture, and motivating employees to adopt sustainable practices. Continued research and implementation of GHRM initiatives hold promise for creating a cleaner, safer, and more sustainable world.

REFERENCES:

1. Bebbington, J. (2001). *Sustainable development: A review of the international development, business and accounting literature*. *Accounting Forum*, 25, 128–157.
2. Beechinor, F. (2007). *How to reduce your carbon footprint*. *People Management*, 13, 46–47. Benz, M., & Frey, B. S. (2007). *Corporate governance: What can we learn from public governance?* *Academy of Management Review*, 32, 92–104.

3. Boselie, P., Paauwe, J., & Jansen, P. G. W. (2001). *Human resource management and performance: Lessons from the Netherlands. The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 12, 1107–1125.
4. Borzykowski, B. (2013). *How one company went completely paperless*. Retrieved 12 January, 2014
5. Berrone, P., & Gomez-Mejia, L. R. (2009). *Environmental performance and executive compensation: An integrated agency-institutional perspective. Academy of Management Journal*, 52, 103–126.
6. Bohdanowicz, P., Zientara, P., & Novotna, E. (2011). *International hotel chains and environmental protection: An analysis of Hilton's we care! programme (Europe, 2006–2008). Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 19, 797–816.
7. Boiral, O. (2002). *Tacit knowledge and environmental management. Long Range Planning*, 35, 291–317
8. Casler, A., Gundlach, M. J., Persons, B., & Zivnuska, S. (2010). *Sierra Nevada Brewing Company's thirty-year journey toward sustainability. People & Strategy*, 33, 44–51.
9. Cherian, J., & Jacob, J. (2012). *A study of Green HR practices and its effective implementation in the organization: A review. International Journal of Business and Management*, 7, 25–33.
10. Christmann, P., & Taylor, G. (2002). *Globalization and the environment: Strategies for international voluntary environmental initiatives. Academy of Management Executive*, 16, 121–135
11. Jackson, S.E., Renwick, W.S., Jabbour, C.J.C., and Muller-Camen, M (2011), "State- of-the-Art and Future Directions for Green Human Resource Management: Introduction to the
12. *Special Issue", German Journal of Research in Human Resource Management*, 25:2, pp. 99-116.
13. Milliman, J and Clair, J. (1996), "Best Environmental HRM Practices in the US", as in Wehrmeyer, W (ed), *Greening People, Human Resources and Environmental Management*, Sheffield: Greenleaf Publishing.
14. Renwick, D. (2008), *Green HRM: A Review, Process Model, and Research Agenda, Discussion Paper Series, The University of Sheffield*.
15. D. P. Deshwal, *Green HRM: An organizational strategy of greening people, International Journal of Applied Research*, 1(13), 2015, 176-181.
16. B. F. Daily and S. C. Haung, *Achieving sustainability through attention to human resource factors in environmental management, International Journal of Operations and Productions Management*, 21(2), 2001, 1539-1552.
17. E. Callenbach, F. Capra, L. Goladman, R. Lutz, and S. Marburg, *Eco-management: The Elmwood guide to ecological auditing and sustainable business* (San Francisco, CA: Berrett-Koehler, 1993).
18. D. Renwick, T. Redman, and S. Maquire, *Green HRM: A review, process model, and research agenda, Discussion paper series, 2008, University of Sheffield Management School, The University*

of Sheffield.

19. K. Peattie, *Environmental marketing management: Meeting the green challenge* (London: Pitman, 1995).
20. D. Owen, *Green Reporting: Accountancy and the Challenge of Nineties* (London: Chapman and Hall, 1992).
21. P. McDonagh and A. Prothero, *Green management: A reader* (London: Dryden Press, 1997).
22. Y. Saraswat, *Green HRM- A contemporary issue to be discussed and followed*, *International Journal of Innovative Research & Studies*, 4(4), 2015, 211-229.
23. R. S. Prasad, *Green HRM, A requirement of 21st century*, *National Journal of Research and Management*, 1(1), 2013, 15-18.
24. N. Govindarajulu and B. F. Daily, *Motivating employees for environmental improvement*, *Industrial Management and Data Systems*, 104(4), 2004, 364-372.
25. G. Mandip, *Green HRM: People management commitment to environmental sustainability*, *Research Journal of Recent Sciences*, 1, 2012, 244-252
26. J. Cherian and J. Jacob, *A study of green HR practices and its effective implementation in the organization: A review*, *International Journal of Business and Management*, 7(21), 2012, 25- 33.
27. D. Renwick, *Green HRM: A review, process model, and research agenda. Discussion Paper Series*, 2008, *The University of Sheffield*. <http://www.shef.ac.uk/content/1/c6/08/70/89/200801.pdf>.
28. S. Sudin, *Strategic green HRM: A proposed model that supports corporate environment citizenship*, *Proceedings of the International Conference of Sociality and Economics Development*, IPEDR, 2011, Singapore: IACSIT Press.
29. K. H. Lee, *Why and how to adopt green management into business organizations: The case study of Korean SMEs in manufacturing industry*, *Management Decision*, 47(7), 2009, 1101-1121.
30. S. Banerjee, *Managerial perceptions of corporate environmentalism: Interpretation from industry and Strategic implications for organizations*, *Journal of Management Studies*, 38(4), 2001, 489-513.
31. K. H. Lee and R. Ball, *Achieving sustainable corporate competitiveness: Strategic link between top Management's commitment and corporate environmental strategy*, *Greener Management International*, 44, 2003, 89-104.
32. S. Hart, *Beyond greening: Strategies for a sustainable world*, *Harvard Business Review*, 75(1), 1997, 66-76.
33. M. Pullman, M. Maloni and C. Carter, *Food for thought: Social versus environmental sustainability practices and performance outcomes*, *Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 45(4), 2009, 38-54.
34. D. Siegel, *Green management matters only if it yields more green: An economic/strategic perspective*, *The Academy of Management Perspectives*, 23(3), 2009, 5.
35. W. Liu, *The environmental responsibility of multinational corporations*, *Journal of American*

Academy of Business, 15(2), 2010, 81-88.

36. S. Ozen and F. Kuskü, *Corporate environmental citizenship variation in developing countries: An institutional framework*, *Journal of Business Ethics*, 89(2), 2009, 297-313.
37. M. S. Hosain, *Impact of best HRM practices on retaining the best employees: A study on selected Bangladeshi firms*, *Asian Journal of Social Sciences and Management Studies*, 3(2), 2016, 108-114.
38. P. Boselie, J. Paauwe and P. Jansen, *Human resource management and performance: Lessons from the Netherlands*, *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 12(7), 2001, 1107-1125.
39. J. Paauwe and P. Boselie, *HRM and performance: What next?* *Human Resource Management Journal*, 15(4), 2005, 68-83.
40. A. Lado and M. C. Wilson, *Human resource systems and sustained competitive advantage: A competency based perspective*, *Academy of Management Review*, 19, 1994, 699-727.
41. P. E. Barnes, *Green standards*, *B & E Review*, Oct-Dec, 1996, 24-28.
42. R. Florida and D. Davidson, *Gaining from green management: Environmental management systems inside and outside the factory*, *California Management Review*, 43(3), 2001, 64-69.
43. K. Hersey, *A close look at ISO 14000*, *Professional Safety*, 43(7), 1998, 26-29.
44. Society for Human Resource Management (SHRM) (2009), *Green workplace: Survey brief*. Alexandria, VA: Author. Retrieved from <http://www.shrm.org/Research/SurveyFindings/Articles/Documents/SHRM%20Green%20Workplace%20Survey%20Brief.pdf>.
45. A. Arulrajah, H.H. D. N. P. Opatha and N. N. J. Nawaratne, *Green human resource management practices: A review*, *Sri Lankan Journal of Human Resource Management*, 5(1), 2015, 1-16.